

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,575	,575 03/29/2004		Mark Grandin	GRAN-01 2081		
30568	7590	04/14/2005		EXAMINER		
MARY J. O		•	GRAHAM, MARK S			
ANNELIN &			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 220			3711			
THE WOOI	DLANDS,	TX 77380	DATE MAILED: 04/14/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	The state of the s	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/811,57	75	GRANDIN, MARK					
Offi	ce Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Mark S. G		3711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>11 March 2005</u>							
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)☐ Since t	to formation and to the marita in								
Disposition of Claims									
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-14 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 7 and 15 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Pap				•	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of Refe 2) Notice of Drat 3) Information D	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Oate	⁻ O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/811,575

Art Unit: 3711

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zownir for the reason set forth in the previous action. In response to applicant's amendments and remarks, Zownir's outer circumference at the tip of the threaded end of element 22 is considered the outer surface and the inner circumference at the tip of the threaded end of element 22 is considered the inner surface. The inner surface may be spaced from the tip of the cue stick depending on how far on to the stick the element is slid. The method of use is not at issue in the instant claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zownir in view of Davis for the reasons set forth in the previous action and above. In response to applicant's arguments it is noted that the examiner has not suggested that Zownir discloses grooves or splines. However, the use of such elements for sliding one tubular element onto another is well known. Davis discloses one such example. Such would ease the insertion and would not prevent the operation of Zownir's device as intended.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,575

Art Unit: 3711

Claims 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuo for the reasons set forth in the previous action. The claims do not limit the size of the claimed cue tip cap and Kuo discloses or obviates all of the structural limitations as pointed out in the previous action. Furthermore, a person may hold the knob and push the open second end of sleeve 1 over the tip of the cue stick or pull it off the cue stick just as the claims require.

Again how the device is used is not at issue in the instant article claims.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuo in view of Davis for the reasons set forth in the previous action and above. In response to applicant's arguments it is noted that the examiner has not suggested that Kuo discloses grooves or splines. However, the use of such elements for sliding one tubular element onto another is well known. Davis discloses one such example. Such would ease the insertion and would serve to keep the cue stick from moving around inside the sleeve.

Claims 7 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/811,575

Art Unit: 3711

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark S. Graham at telephone number 571-272-4410.

MSG 4/4/05

Mark S. Graham